

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/090,471

Applicant(s)

HUTCHINSON ET AL

Examiner

Michael C. Miggins

Art Unit

1772

**All Participants:**(1) Michael C. Miggins.(2) Karoline Delaney.Date of Interview: 2/15/05**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 24 has been amended to include the consisting of language to restrict the invention to a two layer structure which overcomes the prior art.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)